IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

JERRY MARTINEZ;

Plaintiff,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT
OF PUBLIC SAFETY, and
NEW MEXICO STATE POLICE OFFICER
NATHAN SEARLE,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) and 1446(a) and (b), Defendants the State of New Mexico, New Mexico Department of Public Safety, and Officer Nathan Searle, by and through their undersigned counsel, New Mexico Risk Management Division, give Notice of Removal to this Court of the civil action filed in the First Judicial District Court for the State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, Cause No. D-101-CV-2013-02712, filed by Jerry Martinez, Plaintiff, and as grounds therefore state:

- 1. On October 16, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Complaint for Damages ("Complaint") with the First Judicial District Court. A copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. Defendants were served on October 29, 2013. A copy of the Summons is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 3. This Notice of Removal is timely as it was filed within thirty (30) days of October 29, 2013, when the Complaint was served on Defendants.

- 4. All Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel, consent to the removal of this action.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), copies of the Notice of Removal will be promptly given to all adverse parties and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the First Judicial District Court, County of Santa Fe, State of New Mexico.
- 6. The claims stated against Defendants that are subject to the original jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 1343(a)(3) and (4) are as follows:
 - a. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint, alleging an unreasonable seizure in violation
 of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. See Exhibit A, Count I,
 pp. 3-4, ¶ 32-37.
 - b. Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint, alleging unlawful arrest and malicious prosecution in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

 See Exhibit A, Count II, pp. 4-5, ¶¶ 38-43.
 - c. Count III of Plaintiff's Complaint, alleging violations of the Americans with Disability Act. See Exhibit A, Count III, pp. 5-6, ¶¶ 44-53.
- 7. Federal questions thus appear on the face of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 8. Pursuant to Rule 81.1(a) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico, Defendants will file a Notice of Filing of State Court Record and certified copies of the pleadings filed in the First Judicial District for the State of new Mexico, County of Santa Fe, Cause No. D-101-CV-2013-02712, within thirty (30) days of filing this Notice of Removal. A Civil Cover Sheet is attached as Exhibit C.

Respectfully submitted,
State Risk Management Division

By:/s/ Moses B. Winston
Moses B. Winston
P.O. Box 6850
Santa Fe, NM 87502
(505) 827-2553
Attorney for Defendants
moses.winston@state.nm.us

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 26th Day of November, 2013 I filed the foregoing electronically through the CM/ECF System, which caused the following parties or counsel to be served by electronic means, as more fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing;

KENNEDY LAW FIRM Joseph P. Kennedy 1000 Second Street NW Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

/s/ Moses B. Winston
Moses B. Winston

FILED IN MY OFFICE DISTRICT COURT CLERK 10/16/2013 3:26:57 PM STEPHEN T. PACHECO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF SANTA FE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

jam

JERRY MARTINEZ,

٧,

Plaintiff,

CV D-101-CV-2013-02712

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO, NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, and NEW MEXICO STATE POLICE OFFICER NATHAN SEARLE,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Plaintiff brings this Complaint under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 for damages resulting from the Deprivation of Civil Rights and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1331. Personal jurisdiction is proper since Defendants are the State of New Mexico and state employees.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Jerry Martinez ("Plaintiff") is an individual who is a resident of Tucumcari,
 County of Quay, State of New Mexico.
- 2. Defendant Searle ("Defendant") is a law enforcement officer for the State of New Mexico. Defendant was acting under color of state law and in the course and scope of his employment as a law enforcement officer with the State of New Mexico.
- Defendant New Mexico Department of Public Safety is a public entity in the State of New Mexico.



Defendant State of New Mexico is a State in the United States of America.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5. On April 18, 2013, at or around 4:45 PM, Defendant alleges in his police report he was conducting a Sobriety Check Point.
- Defendant Searle alleges he was directing traffic into a single lane.
- Plaintiff was driving his vehicle and merged into the single lane when he approached the orange cones.
- 8. Plaintiff made no traffic violations.
- Defendant initiated a traffic stop on Plaintiff by ordering Plaintiff to produce his license, registration and proof of insurance.
- 10. Defendant's stop of Plaintiff did not conform to the parameters of the random DUI check point.
- 11. Plaintiff could not produce proof of insurance.
- 12. Defendant asked Plaintiff to exit his vehicle.
- 13. Defendant asked Plaintiff to perform field sobriety tests.
- 14. Defendant alleged that Plaintiff informed Defendant that he had recently had foot surgery and had trouble balancing.
- 15. Plaintiff has severe impairments including learning disabilities and had difficulty performing the field sobriety tests.
- 16. Plaintiff failed the field sobriety tests and Defendant arrested Plaintiff for Driving While Under the Influence.
- 17. Plaintiff was adjudicated as having these sever impairments in February 2013, and was determined to be limited when understanding and following directions.

- 18. Plaintiff's disabilities qualified him for Disability Benefits.
- 19. Plaintiff's twelve year old son was in the car.
- Defendant called CYFD to report child abuse.
- 21. Plaintiff consented to a blood draw test which was obtained at or around 6:45 PM.
- Plaintiff was transported to the Quay County Adult Detention Center.
- 23. Defendant then filed a criminal complaint against Plaintiff for four counts, including the third degree felony for child abuse.
- 24. Plaintiff was not intoxicated.
- 25. On April 23, 2013, the Scientific Laboratory Division received Plaintiff's blood sample dated April 18, 2013.
- 26. Plaintiffs blood sample was analyzed on April 24, 2013 and his blood alcohol tested as at a 0.00 gms/100ml.
- Plaintiffs blood sampled was reviewed on April 26, 2013.
- 28. On June 1, 2013, Defendant received the blood sample results.
- On June 5, 2013, Plaintiff's criminal defense counsel moved to dismiss the charges.
- 30. Plaintiff spent a week in jail waiting for his blood test results.
- 31. Plaintiff spent about \$3,500.00 to defend against the criminal charges.

COUNT I -- UNREASONABLE SEIZURE (Against Defendant Searle)

Plaintiff incorporates herein his allegations in previous paragraphs as if stated herein.

- 32. Defendant deprived Plaintiff of his Pourth Amendment right to be secure in his person by initiating a traffic stop outside of the parameters of the DUI checkpoint, and without probable cause that Plaintiff had committed a traffic violation.
- 33. The detention of Plaintiff was wrongful, without probable cause and deprived Plaintiff of

his Fourth Amendment Right to be free of unreasonable seizures and of his rights under Article II, Section 10 of the New Mexico Constitution.

- 34. Defendant officer lacked probable cause to ask Plaintiff to exit his vehicle.
- 35. Defendant should have known that Plaintiff was suffering from a disability which contributed to his conduct. Plaintiff informed Defendant of his disability.
- 36. The actions of Defendant proximately caused damages to Plaintiff in loss of liberty, embarrassment, humiliation, mental and emotional distress, and economic losses.
- 37. Defendant acted willfully, knowingly and purposefully and/or with deliberate indifference to deprive the Plaintiff of his Constitutional Rights. As a result of the nature of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages against the individual Defendant.

COUNT' II - UNLAWFUL ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (Against Defendant Searle)

Plaintiff incorporates herein his allegations in previous paragraphs as if stated herein,

- 38. Defendant arrested Plaintiff for driving under the influence and child abuse and filed or caused to be filed a criminal complaint.
- 39. Defendant knew, or should have known, that Plaintiff had not committed any crime.
- 40. Defendant lacked probable cause to arrest and charge Plaintliff with a crime.
- 41. Defendant deprived Plaintiff of his Fourth Amendment rights to be secure in her person by arresting him and charging him without probable cause.
- 42. The actions of Defendant proximately caused damages to Plaintiff in loss of liberty, embarrassment, humiliation, pain and suffering and mental and emotional distress.
- 43. Defendant acted willfully, knowingly and purposefully and/or with deliberate indifference to deprive the Plaintiff of his Constitutional Rights. As a result of the nature of

Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages against the individual Defendant.

COUNT III -- VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (Against Defendant State and Department)

Plaintiff incorporates their allegations in previous paragraphs as if stated herein.

- 44. Defendants are a public entity as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12131(1)(B) (1990).;
- 45. Defendants do not have sovereign immunity for claims arising under the Americans with Disabilities Act. 42 U.S.C. § 12202 (1990).
- 46. Plaintiff was a qualified individual with a disability as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12131(2) (1990).
- 47. On the basis of his disability, Plaintiff was denied the benefits of services, programs, and activities of the Department of Public Safety, including but not limited to the benefits of:
 - a. encounters with officers properly trained to deal with citizens who suffer from severe learning disabilities.
 - b. being treated with dignity by the government ontity sworn to protect its community's citizens.
- 48. Defendant Searle wrongfully arrested Plaintiff when he misperceived the effects of Plaintiff's disability as criminal activity.
- 49. On the basis of his disability, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff for arresting Plaintiff for the manifestations of his disability.
- 50. Defendant failed to provide adequate accommodations for disabled persons such as Plaintiff while conducting field sobriety tests,
- 51. Defendants failed to provide adequate accommodations when they placed Plaintiff in jail for a week,

- 52. Defendants violated Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act when they discriminated against Plaintiff and when they failed to provide adequate accommodations to Plaintiff.
- 53. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered damages.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the following relief:

- I. Actual and compensatory damages sufficient to make Plaintiff whole;
- II. Punitive damages against Defendant officer sufficient to punish him and to deter further wrongdoing;
- III. Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, costs, pro- and post-judgment interest as provided by law; and
- IV. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph P. Kennedy
KENNEDY LAW FIRM
Joseph P. Kennedy
1000 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 244-1400 fax (505) 244-1406

Recuired @ 10/29/2013

SUMMONS District Court: FIRST JUDICIAL Case Number: D-101-CV-2013-02712 Santa Fe County, New Mexico Court Address: Post Office Box 2268 / 225 Montezuma Ave. Assigned Judge: Santa Fc, New Mexico 87504 / 87501 Sarah Singleton Court Telephone No.: 505-455-8250 Plaintiff(s): Dofendant Jerry Martinez Name: New Mexico Department of Public Safety 4491 Cerrillos Road Defendant(s): The State of New Mexico, New Mexico Santa Fe, NM 87507 Department of Public Safety, and New Mexico State Police Officer Nathan Searle

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S): Take notice that

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit is attached. The Court issued this Summons.
- 2. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing. You must file your written response with the Court no later than thirty (30) days from the date you are served with this Summons. (The date you are considered served with the Summons is determined by Rule 1-004 NMRA) The Court's address is listed above.
- 3. You must file (in person or by mail) your written response with the Court. When you file your response, you must give or mail a copy to the person who signed the lawsuit.
- 4. If you do not respond in writing, the Court may enter judgment against you as requested in the lawsuit.
- 5. You are entitled to a jury trial in most types of lawsuits. To ask for a jury trial, you must request one in writing and pay a jury fee.
- If you need an Interpreter, you must ask for one in writing.
- 7. You may wish to consult a lawyer. You may contact the State Bar of New Mexico for help finding a lawyer at www.nmbar.org; 1-800-876-6657; or 1-505-797-6066.

 Dated at Santa Fe, New Mexico, this 22 day of October, 2013.



STEPHEN T, PACHECO CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT

Deputy

/s/ Joseph P. Keimedy

Signature of Attorney for Plaintiff/Pro Se Party

Name: The Kennedy Law Plrin Address: 1000 Second Street NW

Albuquerque, NM 87102

Telephone No.: (505) 244-1400

Fax No.: (505) 244-1406

Email Address:

kennedylaw@clvilrightslawnewniexico.com

THIS SUMMONS IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO WILL! I -004 OF THE NEW MEXICO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR DISTRICT COURTS,

EXHIBIT

Solidaria

So

$RETURN^{f}$

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF)
I, being duly sworn, on eath, state that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to this lawsuit, and that I served this summons in county on the day of,, by delivering a copy of this summons, with a copy of complaint attached in the following manner:
in the following manner:
(check one box and fill in appropriate blanks)
[] to the defendant(used when defendant accepts a copy of summons and complaint or refuses to accept the summons and complaint)
[] to the defendant by [mail] [courier service] as provided by Rule 1-004 NMRA (used when service is by mail or commercial courier service).
After attempting to serve the summons and complaint on the defendant by personal service or by mail or commercial courier service, by delivering a copy of this summons, with a copy of complaint attached, in the following manner:
to
to, the person apparently in charge at the actual place of business or employment of the defendant and by mailing by first class mail to the defendant at (insert defendant's business address) and by mailing the summons and complaint by first class mail to the defendant at (insert defendant's last known nailing address).
1 to, an agent authorized to receive service of process for lefendant
[] to, [parent] [guardian] [oustodian] [conservator] [guardian ad litem] of lefendant (used when defendant is a minor or an incompetent person).

[] to	(name of person), service. Use this alternative when the defendant under a common name, a land grant board of trus division).	, (title of is a corporation or an stees, the State of New
Fees:		·
Signature of person	making service	
Title (if any)		
Subscribed and sworn to be	fore me this day of,	2
Judge, notary or other office authorized to administer oat		÷
Official title		
	USE NOTE	

- 1. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, this return is not to be filed with the court prior to service of the summons and complaint on the defendant.
- 2. If service is made by the sheriff or a deputy sheriff of a New Mexico county, the signature of the sheriff or deputy sheriff need not be notarized.

[Adopted effective August 1, 1988; as amended by Supreme Court Order 05-8300-01, effective March 1, 2005; by Supreme Court Order 07-8300-16, effective August 1, 2007; by Supreme Court Order No. 12-8300-026, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after January 7, 2013.]

Case 1:13-cv-01136-RB-SMV Document 1 Filed 11/26/13 Page 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

EXHIBIT

is or ot as

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required from the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	——————————————————————————————————————	,				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	ΓS			
Jerry Martinez								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Quay County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kennedy Law Firm 1000 Second Street NW Albuquerque, NM 87102 505-244-1400				Attorneys (If Know New Mexico Risk P.O. Box 6850 Santa Fe, NM 87	[*] Managem	ent Division		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box for Plainti
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	1 U.S. Government 💆 3 Federal Question				y) PTF DEF OX(1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		or Defendant) PTF DEF □ 4 🗷 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	O 2 O 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		0 5 0 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	<u> </u>	Foreign Nation	<u></u>	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT								
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 340 Other Civil Rights 341 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 345 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	X	DEFETURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation it Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicati 5 Other Immigration Actions	1 422 April 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	USC 157 ERTY RIGHTS port of the content of the co	375 False Cl 460 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme: 460 Deporta 476 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum 480 Consum 490 Cable/S: 850 Securiti: Exchan 890 Other Sf 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Rev	eapportionment st and Banking erce tition cer Influenced and t Organizations ner Credit sat TV ies/Commodities/ age tatulory Actions tural Acts mental Matters u of Information tion strative Procedure view or Appeal of Decision utionality of
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star Removal under 28 Brief description of ca violation of Title II	Appellate Court tute under which you ar 3 U.S.C. § 1367	prosecu	ened Anot (speci to not cite jurisdictional s	e seizure	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation liversity):		complaint:
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI	UNDER RULE 23					JURY DEMAND:	_	O No
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER		
DATE November 26, 2013 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTE		FRECORD S/ Moses B. Wins	ston		<u></u>	

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.